UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/762,651	01/22/2004	John Wheat	8540G-000242	5293
	7590	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 828	•	MARTIN, ANGELA J		
BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI 48303			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1795	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/29/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/762,651	WHEAT ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Angela J. Martin	1795		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 F</u> .      This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under Expression in the practice of the practice	action is non-final.  nce except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4)  Claim(s) 1-8 and 17-22 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 1-8, 17-22 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.			
<u> </u>				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:	ate		

## **DETAILED ACTION**

This Office Action is responsive to the Amendment filed on February 7, 2008. The Applicant has amended claims 17-22 to make these claims dependent on claims of Species I (claims 1-8). A new rejection is presented for the following reasons of record.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

2. Claims 1-6, 17-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Faye et al., U.S. Pat. Application Pub. 2004/0175601 A1.

Rejection of claims 1-6, 17-20 drawn to a fuel cell stack antifreeze system; claims 17, 18 drawn to a fuel cell system.

Faye et al., teach a fuel cell stack antifreeze system (0008) that purges a plurality of fuel cell stacks connected in parallel (0033), comprising: a compressor that supplies pressurized cathode gas to each fuel cell stack of said plurality of fuel cell stacks (0024; 0035); and a controller that deactivates a first group of one or more of said plurality of fuel cell stacks and maintains operation of a second group of one or more of said plurality of fuel cell stacks (abstract; 0013-0015), wherein said second group powers said compressor and said compressor purges excess fluid from said first group using

Application/Control Number: 10/762,651

Page 3

Art Unit: 1795

said pressurized cathode gas (0035). The fuel cell stack antifreeze system of claim 2 wherein said controller activates said first group, wherein said first group is used to heat said second group (0025; 0026; 0040). The fuel cell stack antifreeze system of claim 3 further comprising a heating system including an electrical heater associated with each of said plurality of fuel cell stacks, wherein said first group powers said electrical heater that heats said second group (0026). The fuel cell stack antifreeze system of claim 1 further comprising an operator input that selectively generates a shutdown signal, wherein said controller deactivates said first group in response to said shutdown signal (0034, 0035). A fuel cell system, comprising: a plurality of fuel cell stacks connected in parallel (0033); an input device that generates one of a shutdown signal and a load demand signal (0037); a compressor that supplies pressurized cathode gas to each of said plurality of fuel cell stacks (0035); and a controller that deactivates a first group of one or more of said plurality of fuel cell stacks and that maintains operation of a second group of one or more of said plurality of fuel cell stacks based on said one of said shutdown signal and said load demand signal (0037), wherein said second group powers said compressor and said compressor purges excess fluid from said first group using said pressurized cathode gas (0035). The fuel cell system of claim 17 wherein said controller deactivates said second group after purging said excess fluid from said first group (0037). The fuel cell system of claim 19 further comprising a heating system including an electrical heater associated with each of said plurality of fuel cell stacks, wherein said first group powers said electrical heater that heats said second group (0026, 0040).

Application/Control Number: 10/762,651 Page 4

Art Unit: 1795

Thus, the claims are anticipated.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 7, 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Faye et al., U.S. Pat. Applicn. Pub. 2004/0175601 A1.

2. Faye et al., teach a fuel cell stack as described above.

However, the invention as a whole would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made because one of ordinary skill would provide a number of fuel cell stacks required to provide a desired load command. In addition, the controller can be programmed to selectively generate a reduced load demand, so that the controller deactivates a group of fuel cells in response to the reduced load demand.

7. Claim 22 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Faye et al., U.S. Pat. Applicn. Pub. 2004/0175601 A1.

Faye et al., teach a fuel cell stack as described above.

However, the invention as a whole would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made because one of ordinary skill would

Application/Control Number: 10/762,651 Page 5

Art Unit: 1795

provide a number of fuel cell stacks required to provide a desired load command. In addition, the controller can be programmed to selectively generate a reduced load demand, so that the controller deactivates a group of fuel cells in response to the reduced load demand.

8. Claim 21 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Faye et al., U.S. Pat. Application Pub. 2004/0175601 A1, in view of Kato et al., U.S. Pat. Application Pub. 2004/0053092 A1.

Faye et al., U.S. Pat. Applicn. Pub. 2004/0175601, teach a fuel cell stack as described above.

Kato et al., U.S. Pat. Application Pub. 2004/0053092 A1, teach a fuel cell stack as described the Office Action of 4/4/07.

Thus, one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to insert the teachings of Faye et al., into the teachings of Kato et al., because the heating system of Kato et al., would provide "that the fuel cell units disposed near the ends of the fuel cell stack are warmed up; therefore, decrease in the temperature of the end fuel cell units can be prevented when the power generation in the fuel cell stack is stopped." (Kato et al., (0011).

## Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to above claims have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 10/762,651 Page 6

Art Unit: 1795

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Angela J. Martin whose telephone number is (571)272-1288. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 10:00 am to 6:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick Ryan can be reached on 571-272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Angela J. Martin/ Examiner, Art Unit 1795